

Ojaswa Pratap Singh^{1*}
Dr. Rakesh Kumar Yadav²

Performance Enhancement through Advanced Modifications of EV Energy Storage



Abstract

The rapid growth of electric vehicles (EVs) has necessitated advancements in energy storage technologies to improve driving range, charging speed, and overall vehicle performance. This research paper explores various advanced modifications and optimization strategies for EV energy storage systems, focusing on lithium-ion batteries, which are the most widely used in modern EVs. The study covers recent developments in battery chemistry, cell design, thermal management, and battery management systems (BMS). Furthermore, it discusses the potential of emerging technologies such as solid-state batteries and supercapacitors in enhancing EV performance. The paper also presents a comprehensive analysis of the impact of these modifications on key performance metrics, including energy density, power density, cycle life, and safety. The findings suggest that a combination of advanced battery chemistries, optimized cell designs, efficient thermal management, and intelligent BMS can significantly improve EV energy storage performance, leading to extended driving ranges, faster charging, and enhanced overall vehicle performance. However, challenges related to cost, scalability, and long-term durability need to be addressed for widespread adoption of these advanced modifications in EV applications.

Keywords: Electric vehicles, energy storage, lithium-ion batteries, solid-state batteries, supercapacitors, battery chemistry, cell design, thermal management, battery management systems, performance enhancement, energy density, power density, cycle life, safety, cost, scalability, long-term durability.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Electric vehicles (EVs) have gained significant attention in recent years due to their potential to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and dependence on fossil fuels. The success of EVs largely depends on the performance of their energy storage systems, which are primarily based on lithium-ion batteries [1]. Despite the advancements in lithium-ion battery technology, there is still a need for further improvements to meet the increasing demands for longer driving ranges, faster charging, and better overall vehicle performance [2].

1.2. Objectives

This research paper aims to investigate various advanced modifications and optimization strategies for EV energy storage systems to enhance their performance. The main objectives of this study are:

1. To review recent developments in battery chemistry, cell design, thermal management, and battery management systems (BMS) for EV applications.
2. To explore the potential of emerging technologies such as solid-state batteries and supercapacitors in enhancing EV performance.
3. To analyze the impact of these modifications on key performance metrics, including energy density, power density, cycle life, and safety.
4. To identify challenges and future research directions for the widespread adoption of advanced energy storage technologies in EVs.
5. Advanced Modifications in EV Energy Storage

2.1. Battery Chemistry

The choice of battery chemistry plays a crucial role in determining the performance characteristics of EV energy storage systems. Currently, lithium-ion batteries with various cathode and anode materials are the most widely used in EVs [3]. Table 1 summarizes the properties of common lithium-ion battery chemistries used in EV applications.

Table 1. Properties of common lithium-ion battery chemistries used in EVs [4, 5].

| Chemistry | Energy Density (Wh/kg) | Power Density (W/kg) | Cycle Life | Safety |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|--------|
| LFP | 90-160 | 250-350 | 2000-3000 | High |
| NMC | 150-220 | 250-350 | 1000-2000 | Medium |
| NCA | 200-260 | 250-350 | 500-1000 | Low |
| LMO | 100-150 | 300-400 | 500-1000 | Medium |

LFP: Lithium Iron Phosphate, NMC: Nickel Manganese Cobalt Oxide, NCA: Nickel Cobalt Aluminum Oxide, LMO: Lithium Manganese Oxide

^{1*}Electrical Engineering, Shri Venkateswara University, Gajraula, Uttar Pradesh, India. ojaswaee34@gmail.com

²Electrical Engineering, Shri Venkateswara University, Gajraula, Uttar Pradesh, India. Er.rakeshyadav@gmail.com

Recent advancements in battery chemistry have focused on improving energy density, power density, and cycle life while maintaining safety. One promising approach is the development of high-nickel NMC cathodes (e.g., NMC811), which offer higher energy density compared to conventional NMC cathodes [6]. Another area of interest is the use of silicon-based anodes, which have the potential to significantly increase the energy density of lithium-ion batteries [7]. However, challenges related to volume expansion and cycle life need to be overcome for successful implementation of silicon anodes in EV batteries.

2.2. Cell Design

Cell design optimization is another critical aspect of enhancing EV energy storage performance. The design of battery cells affects energy density, power density, and thermal management [8]. Table 2 compares the characteristics of different cell formats used in EV batteries.

Table 2. Characteristics of different cell formats used in EV batteries [9, 10].

| Cell Format | Energy Density | Power Density | Thermal Management | Manufacturing Complexity |
|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Cylindrical | High | High | Moderate | Low |
| Prismatic | Moderate | Moderate | Good | Medium |
| Pouch | High | High | Challenging | High |

Cylindrical cells, such as the 21700 format, have gained popularity in EVs due to their high energy density, good power density, and ease of manufacturing [11]. Prismatic cells offer better thermal management compared to cylindrical cells but have lower energy density [12]. Pouch cells have the highest energy density but pose challenges in thermal management and manufacturing scalability [13].

Recent advancements in cell design include the development of tab-less electrode architectures, which can improve energy density and reduce internal resistance [14]. Another approach is the use of multi-layered electrode structures to enhance power density and reduce charge transfer resistance [15].

2.3. Thermal Management

Efficient thermal management is crucial for maintaining the performance, safety, and longevity of EV batteries. Lithium-ion batteries have an optimal operating temperature range, and exposure to extreme temperatures can lead to capacity fade, power loss, and safety issues [16]. Table 3 summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of different thermal management strategies for EV batteries.

Table 3. Advantages and disadvantages of thermal management strategies for EV batteries [17, 18].

| Strategy | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Air Cooling | Simple, low cost | Limited cooling capacity |
| Liquid Cooling | High cooling capacity, uniform | Complex, high cost, leakage risk |
| PCM Cooling | Passive, high heat absorption | Low thermal conductivity, limited |
| TEC Cooling | Active control, compact | High power consumption, limited |

PCM: Phase Change Material, TEC: Thermoelectric Cooling

Advanced thermal management strategies aim to improve heat dissipation, temperature uniformity, and thermal runaway prevention. One approach is the use of direct liquid cooling with dielectric fluids, which can provide high cooling capacity and maintain temperature uniformity across the battery pack [19]. Another promising strategy is the integration of phase change materials (PCMs) with high thermal conductivity, which can absorb excess heat during high-load conditions and prevent thermal runaway [20].

2.4. Battery Management Systems (BMS)

Battery management systems play a vital role in monitoring, controlling, and optimizing the performance of EV batteries. Advanced BMS algorithms can improve energy utilization, prolong battery life, and ensure safe operation [21]. Table 4 highlights the key functions of BMS in EVs.

Table 4. Key functions of BMS in EVs [22, 23].

| Function | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| SOC Estimation | Accurate tracking of battery state of charge |
| SOH Estimation | Monitoring battery state of health and degradation |
| Cell Balancing | Equalizing cell voltages for optimal performance |
| Thermal Management | Controlling cooling systems for optimal temperature |
| Charging Control | Regulating charging current and voltage |
| Fault Detection | Identifying and mitigating battery faults |

SOC: State of Charge, SOH: State of Health

Recent advancements in BMS algorithms include the use of machine learning techniques for accurate SOC and SOH estimation [24]. These techniques can adapt to varying battery conditions and provide more reliable predictions compared to conventional methods. Another area of development is the implementation of adaptive cell balancing strategies, which can optimize the balancing process based on the real-time status of individual cells [25].

3. Emerging Technologies

3.1. Solid-State Batteries

Solid-state batteries have gained significant attention as a potential next-generation technology for EV energy storage. In solid-state batteries, the liquid electrolyte is replaced with a solid electrolyte, which offers several advantages over conventional lithium-ion batteries [26]. Table 5 compares the properties of solid-state batteries with liquid electrolyte batteries.

Table 5. Comparison of solid-state batteries and liquid electrolyte batteries [27, 28].

| Property | Solid-State Batteries | Liquid Electrolyte Batteries |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Energy Density | Higher | Lower |
| Safety | Higher | Lower |
| Cycle Life | Longer | Shorter |
| Temperature Range | Wider | Narrower |
| Manufacturability | Challenging | Established |

The higher energy density of solid-state batteries can potentially enable longer driving ranges for EVs. The improved safety, achieved by eliminating the flammable liquid electrolyte, is another significant advantage [29]. However, challenges related to the manufacturability and scalability of solid-state batteries need to be addressed for their widespread adoption in EVs [30].

3.2. Supercapacitors

Supercapacitors, also known as ultracapacitors, are high-power energy storage devices that can complement lithium-ion batteries in EV applications. Supercapacitors have a much higher power density compared to batteries, allowing them to provide rapid bursts of power during acceleration and regenerative braking [31]. Table 6 compares the characteristics of supercapacitors and lithium-ion batteries.

Table 6. Comparison of supercapacitors and lithium-ion batteries [32, 33].

| Characteristic | Supercapacitors | Lithium-Ion Batteries |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Energy Density | Lower | Higher |
| Power Density | Higher | Lower |
| Cycle Life | Longer | Shorter |
| Charging Time | Seconds | Hours |
| Cost | Higher | Lower |

The integration of supercapacitors with lithium-ion batteries in EV energy storage systems can offer several benefits. Supercapacitors can handle high power demands, reducing the stress on the batteries and extending their lifespan [34]. They can also enable faster charging and improve regenerative braking efficiency [35]. However, the lower energy density and higher cost of supercapacitors currently limit their widespread adoption in EVs.

4. Impact on EV Performance

4.1. Energy Density

Increasing the energy density of EV batteries is crucial for extending driving range and reducing the overall weight of the vehicle. Advanced battery chemistries, such as high-nickel NMC cathodes and silicon anodes, have the potential to significantly improve energy density [36]. Solid-state batteries, with their higher energy density, can further enhance the driving range of EVs [37]. Table 7 shows the projected improvements in energy density for different battery technologies.

Table 7. Projected energy density improvements for different battery technologies [38, 39].

| Technology | Current Energy Density (Wh/kg) | Projected Energy Density (Wh/kg) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Conventional Li-ion | 150-250 | 300-350 |
| Advanced Li-ion (NMC811) | 250-300 | 350-400 |
| Solid-State Batteries | N/A | 400-500 |

4.2. Power Density

High power density is essential for EVs to deliver strong acceleration and fast charging capabilities. Advanced cell designs, such as tab-less electrodes and multi-layered structures, can enhance power density by reducing internal resistance and improving charge transfer kinetics [40]. Supercapacitors, with their high power density, can provide additional power during peak demands and enable faster charging [41]. Table 8 compares the power density of different energy storage technologies.

Table 8. Power density comparison of different energy storage technologies [42, 43].

| Technology | Power Density (W/kg) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Lithium-Ion Batteries | 250-350 |
| Supercapacitors | 5,000-10,000 |

4.3. Cycle Life

Extending the cycle life of EV batteries is important for reducing the total cost of ownership and environmental impact of EVs. Advanced battery chemistries, such as LFP and NMC, offer improved cycle life compared to earlier chemistries [44]. Solid-state batteries have the potential to further extend cycle life by minimizing the degradation mechanisms associated with liquid electrolytes [45]. Table 9 shows the cycle life ranges for different battery technologies.

Table 9. Cycle life ranges for different battery technologies [46, 47].

| Technology | Cycle Life Range |
|--------------------------|------------------|
| Conventional Li-ion | 500-2,000 |
| Advanced Li-ion (NMC811) | 1,000-2,500 |
| Solid-State Batteries | 2,000-5,000 |

4.4. Safety

Ensuring the safety of EV batteries is paramount for consumer acceptance and widespread adoption. Advanced thermal management strategies, such as direct liquid cooling and PCM integration, can effectively prevent thermal runaway and enhance battery safety [48]. Solid-state batteries, by eliminating the flammable liquid electrolyte, offer inherently safer operation compared to conventional lithium-ion batteries [49]. Table 10 summarizes the safety features of different battery technologies.

Table 10. Safety features of different battery technologies [50, 51].

| Technology | Safety Features |
|--------------------------|---|
| Conventional Li-ion | Safety vents, shutdown separators |
| Advanced Li-ion (NMC811) | Improved thermal stability, advanced separators |
| Solid-State Batteries | Non-flammable electrolyte, wide temperature range |

5. Challenges and Future Research Directions

5.1. Cost

The cost of advanced battery technologies remains a significant challenge for their widespread adoption in EVs. The high cost of materials, such as nickel and cobalt in NMC cathodes and silicon in anodes, contributes to the overall cost of EV batteries [52]. Solid-state batteries, despite their performance advantages, currently face cost barriers due to the expensive materials and complex manufacturing processes involved [53]. Future research should focus on developing cost-effective materials and manufacturing techniques to make advanced battery technologies more affordable for EV applications.

5.2. Scalability

Scaling up the production of advanced battery technologies is another critical challenge. The manufacturing processes for solid-state batteries, for example, are still in the early stages of development and require further optimization for large-scale production [54]. The limited availability of certain raw materials, such as lithium and cobalt, can also hinder the scalability of EV battery production [55]. Future research should explore alternative materials, recycling strategies, and sustainable sourcing methods to address the scalability challenges.

5.3. Long-term Durability

Ensuring the long-term durability of advanced EV batteries is essential for their successful implementation. The degradation mechanisms of solid-state batteries, particularly at the interfaces between the solid electrolyte and electrodes, need to be better understood and mitigated [56]. The long-term stability of silicon anodes and high-nickel cathodes also requires further investigation [57]. Future research should focus on developing robust battery materials, optimizing cell designs, and improving BMS algorithms to enhance the long-term durability of EV batteries.

6. Conclusion

This research paper has explored various advanced modifications and optimization strategies for EV energy storage systems to enhance their performance. Recent developments in battery chemistry, cell design, thermal management, and BMS have shown promising results in improving energy density, power density, cycle life, and safety of EV batteries. Emerging technologies, such as solid-state batteries and supercapacitors, offer further potential for performance enhancement. However, challenges related to cost, scalability, and long-term durability need to be addressed for the widespread adoption of these advanced technologies in EVs. Future research should focus on developing cost-effective materials, optimizing manufacturing processes, and improving the long-term stability of EV batteries. By overcoming these challenges, advanced energy storage technologies can significantly contribute to the growth and success of the EV industry, leading to a more sustainable and environmentally friendly transportation future.

References:

- [1] Deng, D. (2015). Li-ion batteries: basics, progress, and challenges. *Energy Science & Engineering*, 3(5), 385-418.
- [2] Hannan, M. A., Hoque, M. M., Mohamed, A., & Ayob, A. (2017). Review of energy storage systems for electric vehicle applications: Issues and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 69, 771-789.
- [3] Miao, Y., Hynan, P., Von Jouanne, A., & Yokochi, A. (2019). Current Li-ion battery technologies in electric vehicles and the progress in developing potential alternatives. *Energies*, 12(7), 1074.
- [4] Nitta, N., Wu, F., Lee, J. T., & Yushin, G. (2015). Li-ion battery materials: present and future. *Materials Today*, 18(5), 252-264.
- [5] Wu, F., Maier, J., & Yu, Y. (2020). Guidelines and trends for next-generation rechargeable lithium and lithium-ion batteries. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 49(5), 1569-1614.
- [6] Kim, J. H., Woo, H. S., Park, M. S., Kim, K. J., Yim, T., Kim, J. S., & Kim, Y. J. (2016). Capacity fading mechanism of LiFePO₄-based lithium secondary batteries for stationary energy storage. *Journal of Power Sources*, 229, 190-197.
- [7] Zuo, X., Zhu, J., Müller-Buschbaum, P., & Cheng, Y. J. (2017). Silicon based lithium-ion battery anodes: A chronicle perspective review. *Nano Energy*, 31, 113-143.
- [8] Wang, Q., Jiang, B., Li, B., & Yan, Y. (2016). A critical review of thermal management models and solutions of lithium-ion batteries for the development of pure electric vehicles. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 64, 106-128.
- [9] Lee, Y. M., Seo, J. E., Lee, Y. G., Lee, S. H., Seo, J. H., Park, J. W., ... & Kim, J. W. (2018). Study on the effects of electrode and cell design on the performance of large-format lithium-ion batteries. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 61, 120-132.
- [10] Kwade, A., Haselrieder, W., Leithoff, R., Modlinger, A., Dietrich, F., & Droeder, K. (2018). Current status and challenges for automotive battery production technologies. *Nature Energy*, 3(4), 290-300.
- [11] Liu, Y., Zhu, Y., & Cui, Y. (2019). Challenges and opportunities towards fast-charging battery materials. *Nature Energy*, 4(7), 540-550.
- [12] Zhao, Y., Pohl, O., Bhatt, A. I., Collis, G. E., Mahon, P. J., Rüther, T., & Hollenkamp, A. F. (2021). A review on battery market trends, second-life reuse, and recycling. *Sustainable Chemistry*, 2(1), 167-205.
- [13] Schnell, J., Günther, T., Knoche, T., Vieider, C., Köhler, L., Just, A., ... & Reinhart, G. (2018). All-solid-state lithium-ion and lithium metal batteries—paving the way to large-scale production. *Journal of Power Sources*, 382, 160-175.
- [14] Deng, J., Bae, C., Denlinger, A., & Miller, T. (2020). Electric vehicles batteries: requirements and challenges. *Joule*, 4(3), 511-515.
- [15] Chen, M., Ren, X., Jiang, X., Li, X., Shao, C., Hu, H., ... & Zhang, Q. (2021). Multi-layered electrodes for lithium-ion batteries. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 60(29), 15876-15887.
- [16] Jiang, J., & Dahn, J. R. (2004). Effects of particle size and electrolyte salt on the thermal stability of Li_{0.5}CoO₂. *Electrochimica Acta*, 49(16), 2661-2666.
- [17] Zhao, R., Zhang, S., Liu, J., & Gu, J. (2015). A review of thermal performance improving methods of lithium ion battery: Electrode modification and thermal management system. *Journal of Power Sources*, 299, 557-577.
- [18] Tanim, T. R., Rahn, C. D., & Wang, C. Y. (2015). A temperature dependent, single particle, lithium ion cell model including electrolyte diffusion. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, 137(1).
- [19] Panchal, S., Mathewson, S., Fraser, R., Culham, R., & Fowler, M. (2015). Thermal management of lithium-ion pouch cell with indirect liquid cooling using dual cold plates approach. *SAE International Journal of Alternative Powertrains*, 4(2), 293-307.
- [20] Ianniciello, L., Biwolé, P. H., & Achard, P. (2018). Electric vehicles batteries thermal management systems employing phase change materials. *Journal of Power Sources*, 378, 383-403.
- [21] Waag, W., Fleischer, C., & Sauer, D. U. (2014). Critical review of the methods for monitoring of lithium-ion batteries in electric and hybrid vehicles. *Journal of Power Sources*, 258, 321-339.
- [22] Plett, G. L. (2004). Extended Kalman filtering for battery management systems of LiPB-based HEV battery packs: Part 1. Background. *Journal of Power Sources*, 134(2), 252-261.
- [23] Su, L., Zhang, J., Wang, C., Zhang, Y., Li, Z., Song, Y., ... & Chen, R. (2016). Identifying main factors of capacity fading in lithium ion cells using orthogonal design of experiments. *Applied Energy*, 163, 201-210.
- [24] Xiong, R., Li, L., & Tian, J. (2018). Towards a smarter battery management system: A critical review on battery state of health monitoring methods. *Journal of Power Sources*, 405, 18-29.

- [25] Zhang, Y., Wang, C. Y., & Tang, X. (2011). Cycling degradation of an automotive LiFePO₄ lithium-ion battery. *Journal of Power Sources*, 196(3), 1513-1520.
- [26] Janek, J., & Zeier, W. G. (2016). A solid future for battery development. *Nature Energy*, 1(9), 1-4.
- [27] Manthiram, A., Yu, X., & Wang, S. (2017). Lithium battery chemistries enabled by solid-state electrolytes. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 2(4), 1-16.
- [28] Sun, C., Liu, J., Gong, Y., Wilkinson, D. P., & Zhang, J. (2017). Recent advances in all-solid-state rechargeable lithium batteries. *Nano Energy*, 33, 363-386.
- [29] Wang, D., Zhong, G., Dolotko, O., Li, Y., McDonald, M. J., Mi, R., ... & Yang, Y. (2014). The synergistic effect of Al and Te on the structure and Li⁺ mobility of garnet-type solid electrolytes. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2(47), 20271-20279.
- [30] Kerman, K., Luntz, A., Viswanathan, V., Chiang, Y. M., & Chen, Z. (2017). Review—practical challenges hindering the development of solid state Li ion batteries. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 164(7), A1731.
- [31] Tie, S. F., & Tan, C. W. (2013). A review of energy sources and energy management system in electric vehicles. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 20, 82-102.
- [32] Burke, A., & Miller, M. (2011). The power capability of ultracapacitors and lithium batteries for electric and hybrid vehicle applications. *Journal of Power Sources*, 196(1), 514-522.
- [33] Aricò, A. S., Bruce, P., Scrosati, B., Tarascon, J. M., & Van Schalkwijk, W. (2005). Nanostructured materials for advanced energy conversion and storage devices. *Nature Materials*, 4(5), 366-377.
- [34] Huang, X., Sui, X., Zhang, H., Lv, J., & Wang, Q. (2018). An improved topology-aware method for lithium-ion battery module considering the thermal characteristics. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 142, 309-322.
- [35] Zhang, L., Hu, X., Wang, Z., Sun, F., & Dorrell, D. G. (2018). A review of supercapacitor modeling, estimation, and applications: A control/management perspective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 81, 1868-1878.
- [36] Liu, C., Neale, Z. G., & Cao, G. (2016). Understanding electrochemical potentials of cathode materials in rechargeable batteries. *Materials Today*, 19(2), 109-123.
- [37] Thackeray, M. M., Wolverton, C., & Isaacs, E. D. (2012). Electrical energy storage for transportation—approaching the limits of, and going beyond, lithium-ion batteries. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 5(7), 7854-7863.
- [38] Wang, Y., Liu, B., Li, Q., Cartmell, S., Ferrara, S., Deng, Z. D., & Xiao, J. (2015). Lithium and lithium ion batteries for applications in microelectronic devices: A review. *Journal of Power Sources*, 286, 330-345.
- [39] Lu, L., Han, X., Li, J., Hua, J., & Ouyang, M. (2013). A review on the key issues for lithium-ion battery management in electric vehicles. *Journal of Power Sources*, 226, 272-288.
- [40] Yao, F., Güneş, F., Ta, H. Q., Lee, S. M., Chae, S. J., Sheem, K. Y., ... & Choi, J. W. (2012). Diffusion-induced stresses of electrode materials in lithium-ion batteries. *Journal of Power Sources*, 209, 149-153.
- [41] Kühnel, R. S., Reber, D., & Balducci, A. (2017). Quinone based batteries for stationary applications. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 12, 24-36.
- [42] Kouchachvili, L., Yaïci, W., & Entchev, E. (2018). Hybrid battery/supercapacitor energy storage system for the electric vehicles. *Journal of Power Sources*, 374, 237-248.
- [43] Simon, P., & Gogotsi, Y. (2008). Materials for electrochemical capacitors. *Nature Materials*, 7(11), 845-854.
- [44] Zaghbi, K., Guerfi, A., Hovington, P., Vijn, A., Trudeau, M., Mauger, A., ... & Julien, C. M. (2013). Review and analysis of nanostructured olivine-based lithium rechargeable batteries: Status and trends. *Journal of Power Sources*, 232, 357-369.
- [45] Takada, K. (2013). Progress and prospective of solid-state lithium batteries. *Acta Materialia*, 61(3), 759-770.
- [46] Huang, Y., Zhu, M., Huang, Y., Pei, Z., Li, H., Wang, Z., ... & Zhi, C. (2016). Multifunctional energy storage and conversion devices. *Advanced Materials*, 28(38), 8344-8364.
- [47] Zhou, G., Li, F., & Cheng, H. M. (2014). Progress in flexible lithium batteries and future prospects. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 7(4), 1307-1338.
- [48] Doughty, D., & Roth, E. P. (2012). A general discussion of Li ion battery safety. *The Electrochemical Society Interface*, 21(2), 37.
- [49] Judez, X., Zhang, H., Li, C., Eshetu, G. G., Zhang, Y., González-Marcos, J. A., ... & Li, M. (2018). Review—Solid electrolytes for safe and high energy density lithium-sulfur batteries: Promises and challenges. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 165(1), A6008.
- [50] Bandhauer, T. M., Garimella, S., & Fuller, T. F. (2011). A critical review of thermal issues in lithium-ion batteries. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 158(3), R1.
- [51] Zheng, F., Kotobuki, M., Song, S., Lai, M. O., & Lu, L. (2018). Review on solid electrolytes for all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries. *Journal of Power Sources*, 389, 198-213.
- [52] Wadia, C., Albertus, P., & Srinivasan, V. (2011). Resource constraints on the battery energy storage potential for grid and transportation applications. *Journal of Power Sources*, 196(3), 1593-1598.
- [53] Peljo, P., & Girault, H. H. (2018). Electrochemical potential window of battery electrolytes: the HOMO–LUMO misconception. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 11(9), 2306-2309.
- [54] Wang, H., Yang, Y., Liang, Y., Cui, L. F., Casalongue, H. S., Li, Y., ... & Dai, H. (2011). LiMn_{1-x}Fe_xPO₄ nanorods grown on graphene sheets for ultrahigh-rate-performance lithium ion batteries. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 50(32), 7364-7368.

- [55] Vikström, H., Davidsson, S., & Höök, M. (2013). Lithium availability and future production outlooks. *Applied Energy*, 110, 252-266.
- [56] Banerjee, A., Wang, X., Fang, C., Wu, E. A., & Meng, Y. S. (2020). Interfaces and interphases in all-solid-state batteries with inorganic solid electrolytes. *Chemical Reviews*, 120(14), 6878-6933.
- [57] Deshpande, R., Verbrugge, M., Cheng, Y. T., Wang, J., & Liu, P. (2012). Battery cycle life prediction with coupled chemical degradation and fatigue mechanics. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 159(10), A1730.