J. Electrical Systems 20-11s (2024): 1776-1781

[1]Anand S. Burud
[2]Kamalakar R. Desai

A Comprehensive Review on Electric Vehicle Battery Travel Distance Calculation: Challenges and Innovations

Journal of Electrical Systems

Abstract: - Electric vehicles (EVs) are increasingly finding their place in the global transport ecosystem offering a greener alternative to traditional internal combustion engine type vehicles. Centrally, among all the most critical aspects of EVs travel range calculations, the basis of battery charge is one dependable variable that affects user experience and confidence. The travel range of EVs is influenced by several interconnected factors such as, use of internal vehicle appliances like music systems, headlights in nighttime, wipers in rainy conditions, air conditioning systems in summer. Furthermore, the load in the vehicle, driver driving behavior and the condition of the road will also affect the travel range. This review paper discussed the different methodologies and technologies adopted in the calculation of the travel distances of EVs batteries, pinpoints unresolved challenges and presents novel solutions such as those using Internet of Things systems and Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques. This paper will be able to present insights into the improvement of predictive analysis of travel distances out of user concerns in electric vehicle operations.

Keywords: Electric Vehicle, Battery, Distance, Internet of Things, Artificial Intelligence.

I. INTRODUCTION

The modern trend of sustainable transportation has pushed electric vehicles at center stage of automobile industry. However, one of the most important challenges is correctly estimating their travel distance according to their respective levels of battery charge is a challenge that many manufacturers and users are beginning to grapple with. The paper reviews existing methodologies for calculating travel distance, underlining implications for battery capacity, efficiency and energy consumption.

EVs have turned a cornerstone in the future of transportation a greener alternative to moving around compared to internal combustion engine vehicles. As the world continues to bolt towards widespread EVs adoption, there are a number of key variables that now frame the whole EVs user experience. These, few are as central as the necessity of calculating how far a given vehicle will travel on its current battery charge. Precise predictions of the EVs single charge travel range are important, not only for enhancing the operational efficiency of the vehicle but also to enhance driver confidence and reduce range anxiety one of the major issues among EVs users. The calculation of travel distance in EVs is much more complex compared to ICE vehicles. The travel range of EVs is influenced by several interconnected factors. The use of internal vehicle systems such as music players, headlights during nighttime, wipers in rainy weather, and air conditioning systems in hot seasons can impact battery consumption significantly. Additionally, external conditions, including vehicle load and road quality, further complicate the accuracy of travel range estimations. Besides depending on battery capacity, driving conditions, terrain, energy used by auxiliary systems and heating, plus personal driving behavior, come into play. Besides, with the improvement of IoT and AI technologies day by day, new opportunities have emerged to optimize these types of calculations by providing real-time data and predictive models that were beyond traditional methods.

This review paper has presented a wide discussion on methodologies currently employed towards the calculation of EVs travel distance, including limitations and challenges still facing this field. Further, it elaborates on some of the most innovative resolutions and applications that incorporate the use of IoT and AI systems in order to revolutionize such calculations through better accuracy and reliability. It is expected that this review will underpin with important knowledge and ways to enhance prediction accuracy on range, tackle user concerns about battery life and range limitation, and promote overall efficiency and practicality in EVs operations under real driving conditions.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Suleyman Ceven, et al. [1] this paper presents on improving range estimation for electric vehicles (EVs) using a fuzzy logic classifier. The proposed system uses a Mamdani-type fuzzy logic approach, considering dynamic

[1] *Corresponding author: Research scholar, E&TC Engg., DoT, Shivaji University, Kolhapur
[2] Professor, Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, Bharati Vidyapeeth's College of Engineering, Kolhapur

vehicle parameters, battery conditions, and power consumption. Inputs include battery charge and instantaneous power usage.

Zhicheng Xu, et al. [2] this paper presents a novel method using discrete incremental capacity analysis with real-life driving data. The method estimates battery state of health (SoH) without requiring detailed internal battery specifics, such as capacity or resistance. Additionally, in the present method utilizing clustering analysis which enables SoH comparisons among similar EVs types.

Haifeng Liang, et al. [3] this paper explores the potential of electric vehicles to provide auxiliary frequency regulation services through vehicle-to-grid systems, leveraging their rapid response and high growth rates. Based on a trip chain framework, this model offers exceptional parallel computing performance and simulates user travel behavior over several weeks. A bidirectional V2G scheduling strategy using a five-zone map ensures that expected state of charge (SOC) levels are maintained while guiding EVs charging and discharging.

Lei Zhang, et al. [4] this paper presents a multi-stage alternating current (AC) strategy for internally heating lithium-ion batteries. It examines the effects of AC amplitude and frequency, using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) to determine the maximum permissible current under varying temperatures. A parameterized equivalent circuit model predicts heat generation based on Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) data.

Shuai Sun, et al. [5] this paper presents an innovative approach using a machine learning method to improve the driving range prediction accuracy. The gradient boosting decision tree algorithm utilized on the driving range prediction to account for a larger number of factors than traditional methods.

Jiquan Wang, et al. [6] this paper presents an algorithm that predicts energy consumption for future trips, accounting for factors such as driving behavior, road topography, weather, and traffic conditions. Route data is sourced from OpenStreetMap and Shuttle Radar Topography Mission. The algorithm features two components: an offline algorithm, which provides nominal energy consumption values and ranges before a trip to aid planning, and an online algorithm, which refines predictions during the trip using vehicle parameter estimation and driving behavior correction.

Liang Zhao, et al. [7] this paper proposes a blended machine learning model combining Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) and Light Gradient Boosting (LightGBM) algorithms to predict the remaining driving range based on real-world driving data. The model identifies relationships between driving distance and features like cumulative motor and battery output energy, driving patterns, and battery temperature.

III. METHDOLOGY FOR TRAVEL DISTANCE CALCULATION

Correctly estimating the EVs travel distance will help build up user confidence and enhance the overall efficiency of EVs operations. Based on various parameters like battery charge, energy consumption, and historical data, various methodologies have been developed for estimating the remaining travel distance. Each methodology has some advantages but also some limitations, most of which are employed together for better results. Some of the primary methodologies of travel distance estimation are summarized as follows:

A. Measurement of State of Charge (SOC)

SOC is the most common indicator for estimating the remaining travel distance of an EVs it directly leads to the representation of the percentage of battery capacity remaining. However, many factors, such as temperature variation, aging, and discharge rates, affect accuracy in the estimation of SOC therefore, advanced techniques have to be adopted. A variety of SOC estimation methodologies are being developed to overcome such challenges:

Coulomb Counting: Probably the most common approach, called Coulomb counting, or current integration, estimates the amount of current that has flowed into and out of the battery over time to calculate the SOC. The general principle underlying this method is that the SOC falls during discharge and rises during charging. While implementation is comparatively easy, accuracy is restricted because sensor drift (gradual changes in the sensor readings) and inaccuracies within current measurement degrade performance with time. To minimize these, Coulomb counting is usually coupled with other methodologies to improve accuracy.

Kalman Filtering: Kalman filtering represents an advanced approach, enhancing SOC estimation by combining a battery model with real-time measurements. It uses a recursive algorithm to predict the battery's SOC, adjusting estimates based on observed data. By specifically addressing inaccuracies caused by noise in the measurement system, it is more robust than Coulomb counting. However, it requires an accurate battery model, which can be complex to develop and may vary depending on battery chemistry.

Neural Networks: Recently, neural networks have become popular as a powerful tool in SOC estimation, especially when traditional models fail to capture complex behaviors effectively. By training on vast datasets covering varied operating conditions, neural networks can learn sophisticated battery performance patterns and yield highly accurate SOC predictions. However, developing a reliable neural network model requires large computational resources and high-quality training data.

B. Energy Consumption Models

Energy consumption models calculate the amount of energy that will be used by an EVs over a certain distance, which is crucial for travel range calculation. These models consider variables such as speed, driving style, terrain conditions, and auxiliary system usage. Key energy consumption models for travel distance estimation include:

Empirical Models: Empirical models use historical data to derive relationships between energy consumption, speed, acceleration, and road conditions. These models are simpler to build and can be powerful for specific vehicles or routes but are less adaptable to different driving conditions or vehicle configurations. Their accuracy depends on the quality and quantity of training data.

Dynamic Models: Dynamic models offer a more detailed approach by simulating physical and electrical processes within an EV. They account for interactions between components like the motor, battery, and drivetrain under various conditions, such as temperature or terrain. Although dynamic models are accurate, especially in variable driving conditions, they are computationally intensive and require extensive knowledge of the vehicle's inner workings.

Predictive Algorithms: Predictive algorithms, often machine-learning-based, forecast energy consumption using real-time data. These algorithms update their forecasts by analyzing driving behavior, environmental factors, and energy usage patterns, adjusting to changes in conditions, such as sudden accelerations or switching on energy-consuming systems. Development and deployment rely on real-time data and computational resources.

C. Historical Data Analysis

Historical data analysis has become essential for improving travel distance estimations by leveraging machine learning algorithms. This approach uses past-trip data to identify patterns and correlations in driving behavior, road conditions, weather, and energy consumption. By analyzing historical data, machine learning models enhance prediction accuracy across a range of conditions.

For instance, a machine learning model may learn that driving on hilly terrain with aggressive air conditioning settings increases energy consumption compared to flat roads. The system can also include factors like aggressive acceleration or frequent stops, both known to reduce EVs range.

D. Benefits of Historical Data Analysis:

- Personalization: The model adapts to specific driving habits and vehicle characteristics, offering more tailored travel distance estimates.
- Improved Accuracy: By incorporating diverse past data, predictions are more accurate, even under changing conditions.
- Adaptability: Continuous learning allows the model to improve over time as it is exposed to more data. Machine learning models using historical data can integrate real-time data inputs, like GPS location and current energy consumption, for dynamic, up-to-date travel range predictions. However, these models need high-quality training data and may not generalize well in unfamiliar conditions if the historical data lacks diversity.

IV. CHALLENGES IN ACCURATE DISTANCE PREDICTION

While much progress has been realized, improving the estimates of travel distance for electric vehicles remains plagued by challenges. These come in the form of technical and environmental challenges that need to be resolved to offer credible estimates of range.

A. Variability in Batteries

One of the primary challenges in predicting travel distance relates to the variability of battery performance. Differences in battery chemistry, design issues, and age affect the efficiency of storing and using energy. For example, lithium-ion batteries, the most common type in EVs, degrade over time, reducing capacity and causing inconsistent State of charge (SOC) readings. Additionally, variations in manufacturing quality or different battery chemistries across EVs models lead to differences in energy efficiency. As batteries age, their charge-holding capacity diminishes, complicating SOC based predictions and resulting in less reliable range estimates.

B. Environmental Factors

External environmental conditions are major determinants of energy consumption, thereby impacting travel distance. Temperature is particularly significant; extreme heat or cold reduces battery efficiency and increases energy consumption. Cold temperatures slow chemical reactions in batteries, which raises energy use for heating and reduces overall capacity. Additionally, humidity, wind resistance, and road conditions (such as hilly terrain or rough surfaces) further complicate energy consumption predictions. These dynamic and unpredictable factors make it challenging to maintain highly accurate travel distance calculations.

C. User Behavior

Driver behavior introduces yet another layer of complexity in accurately estimating travel distance. Variations in driving styles such as aggressive acceleration, frequent braking, or cruising at high speeds can significantly influence energy consumption. The use of auxiliary systems like air conditioning, heating, infotainment, and lighting increases energy demands, and factors such as vehicle weight (due to cargo or passengers) add to variability in energy efficiency. Since user behavior is difficult to predict consistently, incorporating real-time adjustments for driving patterns is both challenging and essential for improving distance predictions.

V. INNOVATION SOLUTION

New innovative approaches are currently being explored to address these challenges in accurately predicting EVs travel distance. Many of these solutions leverage advanced technologies such as IoT (Internet of Things), AI (Artificial Intelligence), and machine learning to improve the precision and adaptability of distance calculations.

A. IoT-Based Systems

Incorporating IoT technology into EVs systems which enables a real-time monitoring of critical vehicle parameters such as battery health, energy consumption and environmental conditions. With sensors distributed throughout the vehicle and IoT-based systems continuously collect and transmit data, allowing dynamic adjustments to travel distance predictions. This real-time data, combined with cloud computing capabilities, enables EVs to respond promptly to variations in temperature, terrain, and user behavior. For example, if an EVs encounters steep terrain or increased energy use from air conditioning, IoT systems can recalibrate the predicted travel range in real time, providing more relevant information to the driver.

B. Artificial Intelligence

AI algorithms further enhance travel distance predictions by analyzing vast datasets from various sources, including historical driving data, real-time sensor inputs, and environmental factors. Machine learning models, especially AI-based ones, can identify patterns in user behavior, energy consumption, and external conditions, leading to more precise and personalized range estimates. AI can predict how factors like a user’s driving style, weather, or terrain will impact battery performance and adjust distance predictions accordingly. AI-driven systems also improve accuracy over time, learning continuously from new data and tailoring predictions to each driver and scenario.

C. Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement learning (RL) is a type of machine learning which provides a gaining attention for its potential to optimize travel distance predictions and charging strategies. By simulating various driving scenarios and analyzing their outcomes which is further utilized by RL algorithms can develop strategies that maximize battery efficiency and travel distance. RL can also optimize charging times and locations based on real-time data, historical performance, and predicted future conditions. For instance, an RL system might learn from past trips to suggest optimal charging times or routes that maximize travel distance while minimizing energy use. Over time, this approach adapts to individual driving habits, continuously enhancing performance.

VI. CONCLUSION

Precise calculation of travel distance is important in enhancing the user's experience and increasing the wider acceptance of EVs. This review has highlighted core methodologies in range estimation, including SOC measurement, energy consumption models, and historical data analysis. Challenges such as battery variability, environmental conditions, and user behavior continue to hinder prediction accuracy. To address these challenges, new approaches like IoT-based systems, AI algorithms, and reinforcement learning have emerged. IoT integration enables real-time monitoring and dynamic range adjustments, while AI algorithms enhance prediction accuracy by learning from extensive datasets and user behavior patterns. Reinforcement learning further optimizes charging strategies and travel distance predictions by continuously learning from various driving scenarios.

Future research will likely focus on developing integrated systems that combine AI and IoT technologies. This would enable more accurate, adaptive, and personalized range predictions, helping the EVs industry improve range accuracy, reduce range anxiety, and drive widespread adoption of electric vehicles.

REFERENCES

[1] Suleyman Ceven, Ahmet Albayrak b , and Raif Bayır (2020), “Real-time range estimation in electric vehicles using fuzzy logic classifier” Computers & Electrical Engineering Volume 83, 106577.

[2] Zhicheng Xu, Jun Wang, Peter D. Lund, and Yaoming Zhang (2021), “Estimation and prediction of state of health of electric vehicle batteries using discrete incremental capacity analysis based on real driving data” Energy Volume 270, 126855.

[3] Haifeng Liang, Ziyang Lee and Gen Li (2020), “A Calculation Model of Charge and Discharge Capacity of Electric Vehicle Cluster Based on Trip Chain” IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 142026–142042.

[4] L. Zhang, W. Fan, Z. Wang, W. Li, and D. U. Sauer (2020), “Battery heating for lithium-ion batteries based on multi-stage alternative currents” J. Energy Storage, vol. 32, Art. no. 101885.

[5] Shuai Sun, Jun Zhang, Jun Bi, and Yongxing Wang (2019), “A Machine Learning Method for Predicting Driving Range of Battery Electric Vehicles” Journal of Advanced Transportation, Volume 2019, Article ID 4109148.

[6] Jiquan Wang, Igo Besselink, and Henk Nijmeijer (2017), “Battery electric vehicle energy consumption prediction for a trip based on route information” Journal of Automobile Engineering, Vol. 232(11) 1528–1542.

[7] Liang Zhao, Wei Yao, Yu Wang, and Jie Hu (2020), “Machine Learning-Based Method for Remaining Range Prediction of Electric Vehicles” IEEE Access, vol 8. 3039815.

[8] Hannan M. A., Lipu M. S. H., Hussain A., and Mohamed A. (2017), “A review of lithium-ion battery state of charge estimation and management system in electric vehicle applications: Challenges and recommendations”. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 78, 834-854.

[9] Hu X., Li S., and Peng H. (2012), “A comparative study of equivalent circuit models for Li-ion batteries”. Journal of Power Sources, 198, 359-367.

[10] Hannan MA, Azidin FA, and Mohamed A (2014), “Hybrid electric vehicles and their challenges: a review”. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2014;29:135–50.

[11] Saw LH, Ye Y, and Tay AAO (2016), “Integration issues of lithium-ion battery into electric vehicles battery pack”. J Clean Prod 2016;113:1032–45.

[12] Yang N, Zhang X, Shang B, and Li G (2016), “Unbalanced discharging and aging due to temperature differences among the cells in a lithium-ion battery pack with parallel combination”. J Power Sources 2016;306:733–41

[13] Cedric De Cauwer , Wouter Verbeke , Joeri Van Mierlo, and Thierry Coosemans (2019), “A Model for Range Estimation and Energy-Efficient Routing of Electric Vehicles in Real-World Conditions”. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems.

[14] K. Boriboonsomsin, M. J. Barth, W. Zhu, and A. Vu (2012), “Eco-routing navigation system based on multisource historical and real-time traffic information,” IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst., vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1694–1704.

[15] C. De Cauwer, J. Van Mierlo, and T. Coosemans (2015), “Energy consumption prediction for electric vehicles based on real-world data,” Energies, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 8573–8593.

[16] S. F. Schuster, M. J. Brand, P. Berg, M. Gleissenberger, and A. Jossen (2015), “Lithium-ion cell-to-cell variation during battery electric vehicle operation” J. Power Sources, vol. 297, pp. 242_251.

[17] M. Messagie, F.-S. Boureima, T. Coosemans, C. Macharis, and J. Van Mierlo (2014), “A range-based vehicle life cycle assessment incorporating variability in the environmental assessment of different vehicle technologies and fuels,” Energies, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 1467–1482.

[18] J. Laurikko, R. Granström, and A. Haakana (2013), “Realistic estimates of EV range based on extensive laboratory and field tests in Nordic climate conditions,” in Proc. World Electr. Vehicle Symp. Exhib. (EVS).

[19] F. Baouche, R. Billot, R. Trigui, E. Faouzi, and N. Eddin (2014), “Electric vehicle green routing with possible en-route recharging,” 17th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC), pp. 2787–2792.

[20] J. Lin, W. Zhou, and O. Wolfson (2016), “Electric vehicle routing problem,” Transportation Research Procedia Volume 12, 2016, Pages 508-521.

[21] L. Huang, Z. Zhang, Z. Wang, L. Zhang, X. Zhu, and D. D. Dorrell (2019), “Thermal runaway behavior during overcharge for large-format lithiumion batteries with different packaging patterns” J. Energy Storage, vol. 25, Art. no. 100811.

[22] J. Barco, A. Guerra, L. Muñoz, and N. Quijano (2017), “Optimal routing and scheduling of charge for electric vehicles: A case study,” Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2017, Art. no. 8509783.

[23] C. De Cauwer, M. Maarten, S. Heyvaert, T. Coosemans, and J. Van Mierlo (2015), “Electric vehicle use and energy consumption based on real- world electric vehicle fleet trip and charge data and its impact on existing EV research models,” World Electric Vehicle Journal, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 436–446.

[24] X. Feng, J. Sun, M. Ouyang, F. Wang, X. He, L. Lu, and H. Peng (2015), “Characterization of penetration induced thermal runaway propagation process within a large format lithium ion battery module”. J. Power Sources, vol. 275, pp. 261_273.

[25] F. Zhang, Z. Hu, X. Xie, J. Zhang, and Y. Song (2017), “Assessment of the effectiveness of energy storage resources in the frequency regulation of a single-area power system”, IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 3373_3380.
[26] H. Liu, J. Qi, J. Wang, P. Li, C. Li, and H. Wei (2018), “EV dispatch control for supplementary frequency regulation considering the expectation of EV owners," IEEE Trans. Smart Grid, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 3763_3772.
[27] Y. Sun, H. Yue, J. Zhang, and C. Booth (2019), “Minimization of residential energy cost considering energy storage system and EV with driving usage probabilities”, IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1752_1763.
[28] J. Zhao, J. Wang, Z. Xu, C. Wang, C. Wan, and C. Chen (2017), “Distribution network electric vehicle hosting capacity maximization: A chargeable region optimization model”, IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 4119_4130.
[29] J. Wang, G. R. Bharati, S. Paudyal, O. Ceylan, B. P. Bhattarai, and K. S. Myers (2019), “Coordinated electric vehicle charging with reactive power support to distribution grids," IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 54_63.
[30] Q. Wang, P. Ping, X. Zhao, G. Chu, J. Sun, and C. Chen (2012), “Thermal runaway caused _re and explosion of lithium ion battery”, J. Power Sources, vol. 208, pp. 210_224.
[31] L. Noel, G. Zarazua de Rubens, B. K. Sovacool, and J. Kester (2019), “Fear and loathing of electric vehicles: The reactionary rhetoric of range anxiety”, Energy Res. Social Sci., vol. 48, pp. 96_107.