

Anurag Verma¹
B. P. Tripathi^{2*}
Bheem Singh Patel³

Convergence Theorems for a Finite Family of Operators Satisfying MZ-Type Condition In CAT(0) Spaces



Abstract: In this paper, we prove a convergence result for a finite family of operators satisfying the MZ-type condition in $CAT(0)$ space. This paper aims to study an implicit iterative process for a finite family of operators satisfying the MZ-type condition. Our results improve and extend some corresponding recent results from the current existing literature.

Keywords: $CAT(0)$ space; implicit iteration; Zamfirescu operator; Ciric quasi-contraction; MZ-condition.

Introduction and Preliminaries:

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of positive integers, $J = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, be the initial segment of positive integers, and $F(T)$, be the set of fixed points of T . Let

$$F = \bigcap_{j=1}^N F(T_j),$$

be the set of common fixed points of finite families of mappings $\{T_j : j \in J\}$.

Assume that (X, d) is a metric space. A geodesic path between $\theta \in X$ and $\eta \in X$ (or, to put it another way, a geodesic from θ to η) is a map r from $[0, l]$ to X with $r(0) = \theta$ and $d(r(t), r(t_0)) = |t - t_0|$, for any $t, t_0 \in [0, l]$. Thus r is an isometry and $d(\theta, \eta) = l$. The image of r is a geodesic (or metric) segment that joins θ and η . When geodesic is unique, it is denoted by $[\theta, \eta]$.

A metric space is called a geodesic if any pair of its points can be joined by a geodesic. If D contains every geodesic segment connecting any two points, the subset $D \subseteq X$ is convex.

A geodesic triangle $\Delta(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ in a geodesic metric space (X, d) is made up of three points $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ in X (the vertices of Δ), with a geodesic segment connecting each pair of vertices (the edge of Δ).

A comparison triangle for the geodesic triangle $\Delta(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ in (X, d) is a triangle $\bar{\Delta}(\bar{\theta}_1, \bar{\theta}_2, \bar{\theta}_3) = \Delta(\bar{\theta}_1, \bar{\theta}_2, \bar{\theta}_3)$ in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 such that $d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\bar{\theta}_i, \bar{\theta}_j) = d(\theta_i, \theta_j)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ [4].

If the distance between any two points on a geodesic triangle Δ does not exceed the distance between its corresponding pair of points on its comparison triangle $\bar{\Delta}$, then the geodesic space X is a $CAT(0)$ space.

Let $\bar{\Delta}$ be a comparison triangle for a geodesic triangle Δ in X . The Δ is said to satisfy the $CAT(0)$ inequality if for all $\theta, \eta \in \Delta$ and its comparison points $\bar{\theta}, \bar{\eta} \in \bar{\Delta}$ such that

$$d(\theta, \eta) \leq d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\eta}).$$

A complete $CAT(0)$ space is often called Hadamard space [3].

Let θ, η, ζ are points of X and η_0 be the midpoint of the segment $[\eta, \zeta]$, denoted by $\frac{\eta \oplus \zeta}{2}$, then the $CAT(0)$ inequality gives

$$d^2(\theta, \eta_0) \leq \frac{1}{2} d^2(\theta, \eta) + \frac{1}{2} d^2(\theta, \zeta) - \frac{1}{4} d^2(\eta, \zeta).$$

This is called the (CN) inequality of Bruhat and Tits [2]. A geodesic space is said to be $CAT(0)$ space if and only if it satisfies the (CN) inequality [4].

Kirk [6] first studied fixed point theory in $CAT(0)$ spaces. He proved that every nonexpansive (single-valued) mapping defined on a closed, bounded convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space always had a fixed point. Since, then the fixed point theory for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in $CAT(0)$ spaces has been rapidly developed, and many papers have appeared (e.g., [14]-[19]).

It is worth mentioning that the results $CAT(0)$ spaces can be applied to any $CAT(k)$ space with $k \leq 0$ since any $CAT(k)$ space is a $CAT(k')$ space for every $k' \geq k$ [4].

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a $CAT(0)$ space and D be its nonempty subset of X . Then $T: D \rightarrow D$ is said to be

1. α contraction, if $d(T\theta, T\eta) \leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta)$, for all $\theta, \eta \in D$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$;
2. nonexpansive, if $d(T\theta, T\eta) \leq d(\theta, \eta)$, for all $\theta, \eta \in D$;
3. quasi-nonexpansive, $d(T\theta, p) \leq d(\theta, p)$, for all $\theta \in D$, $p \in F(T)$;
4. Kannan mapping [10], if there exists $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

^{1,2*,3}Department of Mathematics, Govt. N. P. G. College of Science, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.

Email: ¹vermaanurag45@gmail.com, ²bhanu.tripathi@gmail.com, ³bheemsingh117@gmail.com

*Corresponding author: B. P. Tripathi

*Email: bhanu.tripathi@gmail.com

$$d(T\theta, T\eta) \leq b[d(\theta, T\theta) + d(\eta, T\eta)], \text{ for all } \theta, \eta \in D;$$

5. Chatterjea mapping [11], if there exists $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$d(T\theta, T\eta) \leq c[d(\theta, T\eta) + d(\eta, T\theta)], \text{ for all } \theta, \eta \in D.$$

Example 1.1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}, D = [0, 1]$ with the usual metric $d(\theta, \eta) = |\theta - \eta|$.

We define an operator $T: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$T(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{7} & \theta \in [0, \frac{3}{4}) \\ \frac{3}{7} & \theta \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1] \end{cases}.$$

Then T is a discontinuous Kannan operator.

Proof: Since Kannan condition(K) is

$$|T\theta - T\eta| \leq b[|\theta - T\theta| + |\eta - T\eta|], \tag{K}$$

for any $\theta, \eta \in [0, 1]$.

We have to discuss the following cases:

I. $\theta, \eta \in [0, \frac{3}{4})$ or $\theta, \eta \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$. Then $T(\theta) = T(\eta)$, the left-hand side of (K) becomes 0 and consequently (K) holds for any $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.

II. $\theta \in [0, \frac{3}{4})$ and $\eta \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$. Then $T(\theta) = \frac{4}{7}, T(\eta) = \frac{3}{7}$ and (K) becomes

$$\frac{1}{7} \leq b \left[\left| \theta - \frac{4}{7} \right| + \left| \eta - \frac{3}{7} \right| \right] \tag{1.1}$$

As $0 \leq \theta < \frac{3}{4}$, it follows that $\frac{-4}{7} \leq \theta - \frac{4}{7} \leq \frac{5}{28}$, so $\left| \theta - \frac{4}{7} \right| \in [0, \frac{4}{7}]$.

As $\frac{3}{4} \leq \eta \leq 1$, it follows that $\frac{9}{28} \leq \eta - \frac{3}{7} \leq \frac{4}{7}$, so $\left| \eta - \frac{3}{7} \right| \in [\frac{9}{28}, \frac{4}{7}]$.

It follows that the minimum value of the right-hand side in (K) is $\frac{9}{28}$, so for (K) to hold it is necessary that $\frac{1}{7} \leq b \frac{9}{28}$, which implies $b \geq \frac{4}{9}$.

III. $\eta \in [0, \frac{3}{4})$ and $\theta \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$. Similarity to case II, due to the symmetry of (K), it follows that $b \geq \frac{4}{9}$.

From I, II, and III, we conclude that T satisfy (K) with $b \in [\frac{4}{9}, \frac{1}{2})$.

Example 1.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}, D = [0, 1]$ with the usual metric $d(\theta, \eta) = |\theta - \eta|$.

We define an operator $T: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$T(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \\ \frac{1}{5} & \theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}.$$

Then T is discontinuous Chatterjea operator.

Proof: Since Chatterjea condition(C) is

$$|T\theta - T\eta| \leq c[|\theta - T\eta| + |\eta - T\theta|], \tag{C}$$

for any $\theta, \eta \in [0, 1]$.

We have to discuss the following cases:

I. $\theta, \eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ or $\theta, \eta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Then $T(\theta) = T(\eta)$, the left-hand side of (C) becomes 0 and consequently (C) holds for any $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.

II. $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\eta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Then $T(\theta) = \frac{1}{4}, T(\eta) = \frac{1}{5}$ and (C) becomes

$$\frac{1}{20} \leq c \left[\left| \theta - \frac{1}{5} \right| + \left| \eta - \frac{1}{4} \right| \right]. \tag{1.2}$$

As $0 \leq \theta < \frac{1}{2}$, it follows that $-\frac{1}{5} \leq \theta - \frac{1}{5} \leq \frac{3}{10}$, so $\left| \theta - \frac{1}{5} \right| \in [0, \frac{1}{5}]$.

As $\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq 1$, it follows that $\frac{1}{4} \leq \eta - \frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{3}{4}$, so $\left| \eta - \frac{1}{4} \right| \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$.

It follows that the minimum value of the right-hand side in (C) is $\frac{c}{4}$, so for (C) to hold it is necessary that $\frac{1}{20} \leq \frac{c}{4}$, which implies $c \geq \frac{1}{5}$.

III. $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Similarity to case II, due to the symmetry of (C), it follows that $c \geq \frac{1}{5}$.

From I, II, and III, we conclude that T satisfy (C) with $c \in [\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2})$.

In 2001, Xu and Ori [7] introduced the implicit iteration procedure that follows for common fixed points inside a finite family of nonexpansive mappings as follows as:

Let D be a nonempty closed, convex subset of a normed space X . Let $\{T_i, i \in J\}$ be N nonexpansive self mapping of D . Let $\theta_0 \in D$ be an arbitrary point and $\sigma_n \subset (0, 1)$, then the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ generated in the compact form as follows:

$$\theta_n = \sigma_n \theta_{n-1} + (1 - \sigma_n) T_n \theta_n, \quad n \in N, \tag{1.3}$$

where $T_n = T_n \pmod N$ (the $\pmod N$ takes value in $J = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$). They proved a weak convergence theorem using this process.

In 2004, Osilike [9] extended the results of Xu and Ori [7] from nonexpansive mapping to strictly pseudo contractive mappings.

Inspired by the above facts, in 2006 Su and Li [8] introduced a new two-step implicit iteration process in Banach space as follows:

Let D be a nonempty closed, convex subset of a real Banach space X . Let $\{T_i, i \in J\}$ be N strictly pseudocontractive self-maps of D . Let $\theta_0 \in D$ be an arbitrary point and $\{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_n\} \subset (0,1)$, then the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ generated as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_n = \sigma_n \theta_{n-1} + (1 - \sigma_n) T_n \eta_n, \\ \eta_n = \tau_n \theta_{n-1} + (1 - \tau_n) T_n \theta_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $T_n = T_n \pmod N$.

They proved a convergence theorem for a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive maps using this iteration.

Let D be a nonempty closed, convex subset of a $CAT(0)$ space X . Let $\{T_i, i \in J\}$ be N self-maps of D . Then iteration (1.4) can be generated for a subset D of $CAT(0)$ space X as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_0 \in D, \\ \theta_n = \sigma_n \theta_{n-1} \oplus (1 - \sigma_n) T_n \eta_n, \\ \eta_n = \tau_n \theta_{n-1} \oplus (1 - \tau_n) T_n \theta_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where $T_n = T_n \pmod N$ and $\{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_n\} \subset (a, b)$, for some $a, b \in (0,1), a < b$.

In 1972, Zamfirescu [12] proved the following important Theorem.

Theorem Z. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be mapping for which there exist real numbers a, b , and c satisfying $0 < a < 1, 0 < b, c < \frac{1}{2}$ such that for any $\theta, \eta \in X$, at least one of the following conditions holds:

- (Z₁) $d(T\theta, T\eta) \leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta)$,
- (Z₂) $d(T\theta, T\eta) \leq b[d(\theta, T\theta) + d(\eta, T\eta)]$,
- (Z₃) $d(T\theta, T\eta) \leq c[d(\theta, T\eta) + d(\eta, T\theta)]$.

Then T has a unique fixed point θ and the Picard iteration $\{\theta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ defined by

$$\theta_{n+1} = T\theta_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

converges to θ for any arbitrary but fixed $\theta_0 \in X$.

The conditions (Z₁) – (Z₃) (can be written in the following equivalent form

$$d(T\theta, T\eta) \leq h \max\{d(\theta, \eta), \frac{d(T\theta, \theta) + d(\eta, T\eta)}{2}, \frac{d(\theta, T\eta) + d(\eta, T\theta)}{2}\}, \tag{QC}$$

for all $\theta, \eta \in X$ and $0 < h < 1$, has been obtained by Ciric [13] in 1974.

A mapping satisfying (QC) is called Ciric quasi-contraction. It is obvious that each of the conditions (Z₁) – (Z₃) implies (QC).

Definition : (MZ- condition) Let (X, d) be a $CAT(0)$ space and D be the subset of X . A mapping $T: D \rightarrow D$ is said to satisfy the MZ condition if there exists $0 < h < 1$, such that

$$d(T\theta, T\eta) \leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) \max\{d(\theta, \eta), \frac{d(T\theta, \theta) + d(\eta, T\eta)}{2}, \frac{d(\theta, T\eta) + d(\eta, T\theta)}{2}\},$$

for all $\theta, \eta \in D$.

Lemma 1.1. [1] Let X be a $CAT(0)$ space.

(a) Let $\theta, \eta \in X$, for each $t \in [0,1]$, there exists a unique point $\zeta \in [\theta, \eta]$ such that [5]

$$d(\theta, \zeta) = td(\theta, \eta), d(\eta, \zeta) = (1 - t)d(\theta, \eta); \tag{1.6}$$

We use the notation $(1 - t)\theta \oplus t\eta$ for unique point ζ satisfying (2.1).

(b) For all $t \in [0,1]$, and $\theta, \eta, \zeta \in X$ such that [5]

$$d((1 - t)\theta \oplus t\eta, \zeta) \leq (1 - t)d(\theta, \zeta) + td(\eta, \zeta); \tag{1.7}$$

(c) For all $t \in [0,1]$, and $\theta, \eta, \zeta \in X$ such that [5]

$$d^2(((1 - t)\theta \oplus t\eta), \zeta) \leq (1 - t)d^2(\theta, \zeta) + td^2(\eta, \zeta) - t(1 - t)d^2(\theta, \eta). \tag{1.8}$$

Main results:

In this section, we establish strong convergence results of an implicit iterative process (1.5) to approximate a common fixed point for a finite family of mappings satisfying the MZ-condition in the $CAT(0)$ spaces. For this, first, we prove a Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let D be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X . Let $\{T_i: D \rightarrow D\}(j \in J)$ be N operators with $F = \cap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ (F denotes the set of common fixed points of $\{T_1, T_2, 3, \dots, T_N\}$) satisfying the condition

$$(T_i \theta, T_i \eta) \leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) \max\{d(\theta, \eta), \frac{d(T_i \theta, \theta) + d(\eta, T_i \eta)}{2}, \frac{d(\theta, T_i \eta) + d(\eta, T_i \theta)}{2}\}, \tag{2.1}$$

$\forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N; \forall \theta, \eta \in D$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let sequences $0 < a \leq \sigma_n, \tau_n \leq b < 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ defined by the iteration scheme (1.5) then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, p)$ exists for any $p \in F$.

Proof: Let $p \in F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$. Since $\{T_i: D \rightarrow D\} (j \in J)$ be N operators with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ satisfying the condition (2.1), then if

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) &\leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{d(T_i\theta, \theta) + d(\eta, T_i\eta)}{2} \right) \\ &= \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} (d(T_i\theta, \theta) + d(\eta, T_i\eta)) \\ &\leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} (d(T_i\theta, \theta) + d(\eta, \theta) + d(\theta, T_i\theta) + d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta)), \end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}\right) d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) &\leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} (2d(T_i\theta, \theta) + d(\eta, \theta)) \\ \frac{(1+\alpha)}{2} d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) &\leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} (2d(T_i\theta, \theta) + d(\eta, \theta)) \\ \frac{(1+\alpha)}{2} d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) &\leq \frac{(1+\alpha)}{2} d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) d(T_i\theta, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

this gives that

$$d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) \leq d(\theta, \eta) + 2 \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha)} d(T_i\theta, \theta), \tag{2.2}$$

$\forall \theta, \eta \in D$ and for every $i \in J = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$.

Similarly, if

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) &\leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{d(\theta, T_i\eta) + d(\eta, T_i\theta)}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} (d(\theta, T_i\theta) + d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) + d(\eta, \theta) + d(\theta, T_i\theta)) \\ &= \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} (2d(\theta, T_i\theta) + d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) + d(\eta, \theta)) \\ &= \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{2} d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) d(\theta, T_i\theta) + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta), \end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}\right) d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) &\leq \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{2} d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) d(\theta, T_i\theta) \\ \frac{(1+\alpha)}{2} d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) &\leq \frac{(\alpha-1)}{2} d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) d(\theta, T_i\theta), \end{aligned}$$

this gives

$$d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) \leq d(\theta, \eta) + 2 \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha)} d(\theta, T_i\theta), \tag{2.3}$$

$\forall \theta, \eta \in D$ and for every $i \in J = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$.

Similarly, if

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) &\leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) d(\theta, \eta) \\ &= d(\theta, \eta), \end{aligned}$$

this gives

$$d(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) \leq d(\theta, \eta), \tag{2.4}$$

$\forall \theta, \eta \in D$ and for every $i \in J = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$.

Now, since $T_i p = p$, $T_n = T_n \pmod N$ and the $\pmod N$ takes the values in J , for $\eta = \theta_n$ and $\theta = p$, the inequalities (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4) gives that

$$d(T_i\theta_n, p) \leq d(\theta_n, p). \tag{2.5}$$

Again, with $\eta = \eta_n$ and $\theta = p$ in (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$d(T_i\eta_n, p) \leq d(\eta_n, p). \tag{2.6}$$

Now, let $\{\theta_n\}$ be the implicit iteration process defined by (1.5) and $\theta_0 \in D$ be an arbitrary.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\theta_n, p) &\leq d(\sigma_n \theta_{n-1} \oplus (1 - \sigma_n) T_n \eta_n, p) \\ &\leq \sigma_n d(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) d(T_n \eta_n, p) \\ &\leq \sigma_n d(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) d(\eta_n, p) \\ &= \sigma_n d(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) d(\tau_n \theta_{n-1} \oplus (1 - \tau_n) T_n \theta_n, p) \\ &\leq \sigma_n d(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) \tau_n d(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) (1 - \tau_n) d(T_n \theta_n, p) \\ &\leq [\sigma_n + (1 - \sigma_n) \tau_n] d(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) (1 - \tau_n) d(\theta_n, p), \end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$\begin{aligned} d(\theta_n, p) &\leq \frac{(\sigma_n + (1 - \sigma_n) \tau_n)}{(1 - (1 - \sigma_n) (1 - \tau_n))} d(\theta_{n-1}, p) \\ &= \frac{(\sigma_n + (1 - \sigma_n) \tau_n)}{(\sigma_n + (1 - \sigma_n) \tau_n)} d(\theta_{n-1}, p) \end{aligned}$$

this gives

$$d(\theta_n, p) \leq d(\theta_{n-1}, p), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.7}$$

This implies that the sequence $\{d(\theta_n, p)\}$ is non-increasing and bounded, hence it is convergent.

Theorem 2.2. Let D be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X . Let $\{T_i: D \rightarrow D\} (j \in J)$ be N operators with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ (F denotes the set of common fixed points of $\{T_1, T_2, 3, \dots, T_N\}$) satisfying the condition

$$(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) \leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) \max \left\{ d(\theta, \eta), \frac{d(T_i\theta, \theta) + d(\eta, T_i\eta)}{2}, \frac{d(\theta, T_i\eta) + d(\eta, T_i\theta)}{2} \right\}$$

$\forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N; \forall \theta, \eta \in D$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let sequences $0 < a \leq \sigma_n, \tau_n \leq b < 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ defined by the iteration scheme (1.5), then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T\theta_n, \theta_n)$ exists.

Proof: Let $p \in F$, then we have already proved that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, p)$ exists in Lemma 2.1. Assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, p) = h, h \geq 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Now, using (1.5), (2.5), and Lemma 1.2(c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(\eta_n, p) &= d^2(\tau_n \theta_{n-1} \oplus (1 - \tau_n) T_n \theta_n, p) \\ &\leq \tau_n d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \tau_n) d^2(T_n \theta_n, p) - \tau_n (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n) \\ &\leq \tau_n d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_n, p) - \tau_n (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n) \\ &\leq \tau_n d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) - \tau_n (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n) \\ &= d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) - \tau_n (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n), \end{aligned}$$

this gives

$$d^2(\eta_n, p) \leq d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) - \tau_n (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n). \tag{2.9}$$

Using (1.5), (2.6), (2.9), and Lemma 1.2 (c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(\theta_n, p) &= d^2(\sigma_n \theta_{n-1} \oplus (1 - \sigma_n) T_n \eta_n, p) \\ &\leq \sigma_n d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) d^2(T_n \eta_n, p) - \sigma_n (1 - \sigma_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \eta_n) \\ &\leq \sigma_n d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) d^2(\eta_n, p) - \tau_n (1 - \sigma_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \eta_n) \\ &\leq \sigma_n d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) (d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) - \tau_n (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n)) \\ &= \sigma_n d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) + (1 - \sigma_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) - (1 - \sigma_n) \tau_n (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n) \\ &= d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) - (1 - \sigma_n) \tau_n (1 - \tau_n) d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n), \end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n) \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \sigma_n) \tau_n (1 - \tau_n)} [d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) - d^2(\theta_n, p)],$$

this gives

$$d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n) \leq \frac{1}{a(1-b)^2} [d^2(\theta_{n-1}, p) - d^2(\theta_n, p)] \tag{2.10}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta, p) = h$, then equation (2.10), gives that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^2(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n) = 0,$$

this implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_{n-1}, T_n \theta_n) = 0,$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, T_n \theta_n) = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Definition 2.1. Let D be a nonempty subset of a $CAT(0)$ space (X, d) . Then a mapping $T: D \rightarrow D$ satisfy condition (I), if there exists a non-decreasing function $\xi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\xi(0) = 0, \xi(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in (0, \infty)$ and $d(\theta, T\theta) \geq \xi(d(\theta, F(T)))$, $\forall \theta \in D$, where $d(\theta, F(T)) = \inf_{p \in F(T)} d(\theta, p)$, $p \in F(T) =$ set of fixed points of T .

Theorem 2.3. Let D be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X . Let $\{T_i: D \rightarrow D\} (j \in J)$ be N operators with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ (F denotes the set of common fixed points of $\{T_1, T_2, 3, \dots, T_N\}$) satisfying the condition

$$(T_i\theta, T_i\eta) \leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) \max \left\{ d(\theta, \eta), \frac{d(T_i\theta, \theta) + d(\eta, T_i\eta)}{2}, \frac{d(\theta, T_i\eta) + d(\eta, T_i\theta)}{2} \right\}$$

$\forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N; \forall \theta, \eta \in D$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let sequences $0 < a \leq \sigma_n, \tau_n \leq b < 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ defined by the iteration scheme (1.5). If $T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are satisfying condition (I), then the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is strongly converges to a point $p \in F$.

Proof: From Lemma 2.1, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, p)$ exists for all $p \in F$.

Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, p) = h$, for some $h \geq 0$.

Since

$$d(\theta_{n+1}, p) \leq d(\theta_n, p), \forall n \in F,$$

taking $\inf_{p \in F}$ in both sides of the above inequality, we have

$$\inf_{p \in F} d(\theta_{n+1}, p) \leq \inf_{p \in F} d(\theta_n, p),$$

yields the inequality

$$d(\theta_{n+1}, F) \leq d(\theta_n, F).$$

This implies that $\{d(\theta_n, F)\}$ is non-decreasing and bounded, hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, F)$ exists.

Since $\{T_n, n \in J\}$ satisfies the condition (I), then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(d(\theta_n, F)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, T_n \theta_n) \tag{2.12}$$

Also from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, T_n \theta_n) = 0.$$

So, inequality (2.12) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(d(\theta_n, F)) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Since the mapping $\xi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing with $\xi(0) = 0, \xi(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in (0, \infty)$.

Now from (2.13), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, F) = 0.$$

Next, we prove that the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in D . Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, F) = 0$, so for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there $\exists n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(\theta_n, F) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \forall n \geq n_\epsilon.$$

In particular,

$$\inf\{d(\theta_{n_\epsilon}, s) : s \in F\} < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

So, there must be $q \in F$ such that

$$d(\theta_{n_\epsilon}, q) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now, for any $n, m \geq n_\epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\theta_{n+m}, \theta_n) &\leq d(\theta_{n+m}, q) + d(\theta_n, q) \\ &\leq 2d(\theta_{n_\epsilon}, q) \\ &< 2 \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in D . Since D is closed in (X, d) , hence D is complete and hence $\{\theta_n\}$ must converge to the point $p \in D$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\theta_n, F) = d(p, F) = 0$ this implies that $p \in F$ that is $\{\theta_n\}$ converges strongly to common fixed points of $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_N$.

Corollary 2.1. Let D be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X . Let $\{T_i : D \rightarrow D\} (i \in J)$ be N operators with $F = \cap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ (F denotes the set of common fixed points of $\{T_1, T_2, 3, \dots, T_N\}$) satisfying the condition

$$d(T_i \theta, T_i \eta) \leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) \left[\frac{d(T_i \theta, \theta) + d(\eta, T_i \eta)}{2} \right],$$

$\forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N; \forall \theta, \eta \in D$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Let sequences $0 < a \leq \sigma_n, \tau_n \leq b < 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ defined by the iteration scheme (1.5). If $T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are satisfying condition (I), then the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is strongly converges to a point $p \in F$.

Corollary 2.2. Let D be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X . Let $\{T_i : D \rightarrow D\} (i \in J)$ be N operators with $F = \cap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ (F denotes the set of common fixed points of $\{T_1, T_2, 3, \dots, T_N\}$) satisfying the condition

$$d(T_i \theta, T_i \eta) \leq \alpha d(\theta, \eta) + (1 - \alpha) \left[\frac{d(\theta, T_i \eta) + d(\eta, T_i \theta)}{2} \right],$$

$\forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N; \forall \theta, \eta \in D$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Let sequences $0 < a \leq \sigma_n, \tau_n \leq b < 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ defined by the iteration scheme (1.5). If $T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are satisfying condition (I), then the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is strongly converges to a point $p \in F$.

References

- [1] Agarwal, R. P., Donal O Regan, and DR2314666 Sahu, *Iterative construction of fixed points of nearly asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*. Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis 8(1), (2007), 61-79.
- [2] Bruhat, Francois, and Jacques Tits, *Groupes r' -eductifs sur un corps local: I. Donn'ees radicielles valu'ees*. Publications Math'ematiques de l'IHE'S 41 (1972), 5-251.
- [3] Khamsi, Mohamed A., and William A. Kirk. "An introduction to metric spaces and fixed point theory." John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [4] M. R. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, Berlin, Germany 319 (1999).
- [5] Qihou, Liu, *Iterative sequences for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 259(1) (2001), 1-7.
- [6] W. A. Kirk, *Geometry Geodesic and Fixed Point Theory*, In Seminar of Mathematical Analysis: Proceedings, Universities of Malaga and Seville (Spain), (64), (September 2002-February 2003), 195-225.
- [7] H. K. Xu and R. G. Ori, An implicit iteration process for nonexpansive mappings, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 22 (2001), 767-773.

- [8] Y. Su, S. Li, Composite implicit iteration process for common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 320, 2006, 882-891.
- [9] M.O.Osilike, Implicit iteration process for common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 294, 2004, 73-81.
- [10] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed point theorems, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 10, 71–76 (1968).
- [11] S. K. Chatterjea, Fixed point theorems compactes, *Rend. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* 25, 727–730 (1972).
- [12] T. Zamfirescu, Fixed point theorems in metric space, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 23, 292–298 (1972).
- [13] L. B. Ćirić, A generalization of Banach principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 45, 727–730 (1974).
- [14] A. Abkar and M. Eslamian, Common fixed point results in CAT(0) spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.: TMA* 74(5), 1835–1840 (2011).
- [15] P. Chaoha and A. Phon-on, A note on fixed point sets in CAT(0) spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 320(2), 983–987 (2006).
- [16] S. Dhompongsa, A. Kaewkho and B. Panyanak, Lim's theorems for multivalued mappings in CAT(0) spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 312(2), 478–487 (2005).
- [17] S. Dhompongsa and B. Panyanak, On 4-convergence theorem in CAT(0) spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 56(10), 2572–2579 (2008).
- [18] N. Hussain and M. A. Khamsi, On asymptotic pointwise contractions in metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.:TMA* 71(10), 4423–4429 (2009).
- [19] Y. Niwongsa and B. Panyanak, Noor iterations for asymptotically non- expansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* Vol. 4(13) (2010), 645-656.